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Intergovernmental negotiating committee
to prepare a global legally binding instrument
on mercury

Seventh session

Dead Sea, Jordan, 10–15 March 2016

Item 3 (b) of the provisional agenda[[1]](#footnote-1)\*

Work to prepare for the entry into force of the Minamata Convention on Mercury and for the first meeting of the Conference of the Parties to the Convention: matters required by the Convention to be decided upon by the Conference of the Parties at its first meeting

Available information that might assist the committee’s work

 Note by the secretariat

1. In its decision 25/5 on chemicals management, including mercury, the Governing Council of the United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP) requested the Executive Director of UNEP to convene an intergovernmental negotiating committee with the mandate to prepare a global legally binding instrument on mercury. At its fifth session, the intergovernmental negotiating committee agreed on the text of a global legally binding instrument, the Minamata Convention on Mercury, which was adopted and opened for signature at the Conference of Plenipotentiaries held in Kumamoto, Japan, on 10 and 11 October 2013. In paragraph 3 of its resolution on arrangements in the interim period (UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/CONF/4, annex I), the Conference of Plenipotentiaries invited the Executive Director of UNEP to convene such further meetings of the intergovernmental negotiating committee during the period between the date on which the Convention was opened for signature and the date of the opening of the first meeting of the Conference of the Parties to the Convention as may be necessary to facilitate the rapid entry into force of the Convention and its effective implementation upon its entry into force.
2. At its seventh session, the intergovernmental negotiating committee will have before it a number of working and information documents prepared at the request of the committee at its previous sessions. The secretariat is also making available to the committee a number of background documents prepared in response to previous decisions of the Governing Council and requests by the ad hoc
open-ended working group to prepare for the intergovernmental negotiating committee on mercury and by the earlier Ad Hoc Open-ended Working Group on Mercury. The background documents include reports, toolkits and guidance documents on topics relevant to the provisions of the Minamata Convention.
3. With a view to assisting Governments in their preparations for the seventh session of the intergovernmental negotiating committee, the documents for the session are listed in tables 1 and 2 below (see annex). Table 1 lists general reference documents and table 2 identifies other working, information and background documents that relate more specifically to individual articles of the Minamata Convention. Each background document is identified by a capital letter which matches that used to identify the document as it appears in the appendix to the annex. A brief description of each background document listed is also provided in the appendix.
4. The present note and the annex thereto update and expand the information contained in documents UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.1/INF/6, UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.2/INF/4, UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.3/INF/2, UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.4/INF/2, UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.5/INF/2 and UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.6/INF/1, which were made available to the committee at its first, second, third, fourth, fifth and sixth sessions, respectively. The annex is presented without formal editing.

Annex

Table 1
Working documents for the seventh session of the intergovernmental negotiating committee

| *Symbol* | *Title* |
| --- | --- |
| UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.7/1 | Provisional agenda |
| UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.7/1/Add.1 | Annotations to the provisional agenda |
| UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.7/2 | Scenario note for the seventh session of the intergovernmental negotiating committee to prepare a global legally binding instrument on mercury |
| UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.7/3 | Draft guidance to assist parties in completing the forms required under Article 3 |
| UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.7/4 | Draft guidance on identification of individual stocks of mercury or mercury compounds exceeding 50 metric tons, as well as sources of mercury supply generating stocks exceeding 10 metric tonnes per year |
| UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.7/5 | Compilation of submissions on the question of whether additional guidance was necessary in accordance with paragraph 12 of article 3 of the Minamata Convention |
| UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.7/6 | Report of the group of technical experts on the development of guidance required under article 8 of the Convention |
| UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.7/6/Add1 | Draft guidance on best available techniques and best environmental practices |
| UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.7/6/Add2 | Draft guidance on support for parties in implementing the measures set out in paragraph 5 of article 8, in particular in determining goals and in setting emission limit values |
| UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.7/6/Add3 | Draft guidance on criteria that parties may develop pursuant to paragraph 2 (b) of article 8 |
| UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.7/6/Add4 | Draft guidance on preparing inventories of emissions |
| UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.7/7 | Draft memorandum of understanding between the Conference of the Parties of the Minamata Convention on Mercury and the Council of the Global Environment Facility |
| UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.7/8 | Draft guidance to the Global Environment Facility on overall strategies, policies, programme priorities, eligibility for access to and utilization of financial resources and on an indicative list of categories of activities that could receive support from the Global Environment Facility Trust Fund |
| UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.7/9 | Report by the co-chairs of the ad hoc working group of experts on financing established at the sixth session of the intergovernmental negotiating committee |
| UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.7/10 | Draft reporting format as amended by the intergovernmental negotiating committee on mercury at its sixth session |
| UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.7/11/ | Compilation of information on the frequency of reporting under other multilateral environmental agreements, including the Basel, Rotterdam and Stockholm conventions, along with available data on the submission of reporting rates under other agreements |
| UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.7/12 | Compilation and analysis of the means of obtaining monitoring data in relation to effectiveness evaluation |
| UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.7/13 | Draft rules of procedure for the Conference of the Parties to the Minamata Convention on Mercury |
| UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.7/14 | Draft financial rules for the Conference of the Parties to the Minamata Convention on Mercury |
| UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.7/15 | Report on proposals on how the Executive Director of the United Nations Environment Programme will perform the functions of the permanent secretariat for the Minamata Convention on Mercury |
| UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.7/16 | Analysis of offers to host the permanent secretariat of the Minamata Convention on Mercury |
| UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.7/17 | Draft guidance on developing a national action plan to reduce and, where feasible, eliminate mercury use in artisanal and small-scale gold mining |
| UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.7/18  | Environmentally sound interim storage: compilation and summary of submissions; identification of relevant sections of the Basel guidance; and a roadmap for work on interim guidance. |
| UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.7/19 | Compilation of information on the use of mercury waste thresholds |
| UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.7/20 | Guidance on managing contaminated sites and the proposed way forward for developing guidance |
| UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.7/21 | Progress report on the work of the interim secretariat in the period since the sixth session of the intergovernmental negotiating committee to prepare a global legally binding instrument on mercury |
| UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.7/INF/1 | Comments received on Best Available Techniques and Best Environmental Practices guidance documents during the public comment period |
| UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.7/INF/2 | Available information that might assist the committee’s work |
| UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.7/INF/3 | Progress report on the UNEP Global Mercury Partnership |
| UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.7/INF/4 | Report on activities undertaken by partner organizations |
| UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.7/INF/5 | Offer to host the permanent secretariat from the Government of Switzerland |
| UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.7/INF/6 | Options and related governance arrangements under UNEP as the host institution that could best serve the Specific International Programme |
| UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.7/INF/7 | Draft public health strategies for artisanal and small-scale gold mining |
| UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.7/INF/8 | Update from the secretariat of the Basel, Rotterdam and Stockholm Conventions on joint guidance |
| UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.7/INF/9 | Update on activities by the regional centres of the Basel and Stockholm Conventions. |

Table 2
Other documents relating to specific articles of the Minamata Convention on Mercury for the intergovernmental negotiating committee at its sixth session

| *Article of the Minamata Convention on Mercury* | *Relevant working and information documents prepared for the first, second, third, fourth, fifth, sixth and seventh sessions* | *Relevant background documents[[2]](#footnote-2)* |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Article 1** **Objective** | **Relevant working documents prepared for the first session**UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.1/6: Possible tool for tracking the progress of the intergovernmental negotiating committee in developing provisions of the global legally binding instrument on mercuryUNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.1/16: International trade law that may be relevant to the future mercury instrument, including provisions on trade set out in selected conventionsUNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.1/18: Relevant issues being considered in international forums and their possible impact on the mercury negotiation process UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.1/19: Potential costs and benefits associated with each provision listed in paragraph 27 of Governing Council decision 25/5 UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.1/INF/8: Potential costs and benefits associated with each provision listed in paragraph 27 of Governing Council decision 25/5 | G. Report presenting the costs and benefits for each of the strategic objectives set out in annex I to the report of the first meeting of the Open‑ended Working Group on Mercury, July 2008  |
| **Article 2****Definitions** | **Relevant working documents prepared for the first session**UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.1/14: Glossaries of key terms |  |
| **Article 3****Mercury supply sources and trade** | **Relevant working documents prepared for the seventh session:**UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.7/3: Draft guidance to assist parties in completing the forms required under Article 3 UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.7/4: Draft guidance on identification of individual stocks of mercury or mercury compounds exceeding 50 metric tons, as well as sources of mercury supply generating stocks exceeding 10 metric tonnes per yearUNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.7/5: Compilation of submissions on the question of whether additional guidance was necessary in accordance with paragraph 12 of article 3 of the Minamata ConventionUNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.7/INF.3: Progress report on the UNEP Global Mercury Partnership**Relevant working document prepared for the second session:**UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.2/15: Analysis of possible options for using partnerships to help achieve the goals of the future instrument on mercury UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.2/INF/2: Guidelines on the environmentally sound management of elemental mercury and waste containing or contaminated with mercury**Relevant working and information documents prepared for the first session:**UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.1/16: International trade law that may be relevant to the future mercury instrument, including provisions on trade set out in selected conventionsUNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.1/19: Potential costs and benefits associated with each provision listed in paragraph 27 of Governing Council decision 25/5 UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.1/20: Update of information on the supply and trade of mercuryUNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.1/INF/8: Potential costs and benefits associated with each provision listed in paragraph 27 of Governing Council decision 25/5UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.1/INF/9: Update of information on the supply and trade of mercuryUNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.1/INF/10: Update on activities related to mercury supply and the environmentally sound storage of mercury | C. Summary of Supply, Trade and Demand Information on Mercury, November 2006D. Mercury awareness-raising package, January 2009H. Report on current supply and demand for mercury, including projections considering the phase‑out of primary mercury mining, July 2008J. Assessment of Excess Mercury in Asia, 2010–2050, May 2009 K. Assessment report: Excess mercury supply in Latin America and the Caribbean, 2010–2050, July 2009 L. Assessment report: Excess mercury supply in Eastern Europe and Central Asia, 2010–2050, April 2010 Q. Study on the possible effects on human health and the environment of the trade of products containing lead, cadmium and mercury in Latin America and the Caribbean, Asia and the Pacific and Africa |
| **Article 4****Mercury-added products****Article 5****Manufacturing processes in which mercury or mercury compounds are used****Article 6****Exemptions available to a Party upon request** | **Relevant working documents prepared for the sixth session:**UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.6/6: Draft proposal on the format for registering exemptionsUNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.6/7: Proposal on information to be supplied when registering an exemptionUNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.6/8: Proposal on the register of exemptions to be maintained by the secretariat, including information on the maintenance of information supplied by States and regional economic integration organizations upon becoming partiesUNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.6/INF.3: Progress report on the UNEP Global Mercury Partnership**Relevant working documents prepared for the fourth session:**UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.4/6: Information on possible transitional arrangements pending phase out of mercury-added products and manufacturing processes in which mercury is used**Relevant working document prepared for the third session:**UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.3/6: Addressing health in the mercury instrument**Relevant working documents prepared for the second session:**UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.2/11: Mercury-containing products, processes and technologies and their alternativesUNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.2/12: Cost-benefit analysis of existing alternatives to mercury-based products, processes and technologiesUNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.2/13: Options for regulating mercury in productsUNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.2/17: Global inventory of mercury cell chlor-alkali facilities**Relevant working and information documents prepared for the first session:**UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.1/13: Concept of essential use in international agreements | C. Summary of Supply, Trade and Demand Information on Mercury, November 2006D. Mercury awareness-raising package, January 2009F. Guide for Reducing Major Uses and Releases of Mercury, June 2006H. Report on current supply and demand for mercury, including projections considering the phase‑out of primary mercury mining, July 2008I. Report on the major mercury‑containing products and processes, their substitutes and experience in switching to mercury‑free products and processes, July 2008V*.* Economics of Conversion to Mercury-Free Products, October 2011 X. Research and Development Progress of and Feasibility Study Report on Mercury-free Catalyst in China, December 2011 Z. Global Mercury Assessment: Sources, Emissions, Releases and Environmental Transport, February 2013 AA. Global Chemicals Outlook: Towards Sound Management of Chemicals. Synthesis Report for Decision Makers, September 2012CC. Replacing mercury-added products and promoting improved management of mercury-added products waste in Madagascar, March 2015.EE. Reducing demand for mercury added products in Bangladesh, December 2015 |
| **Article 7****Artisanal and small-scale gold mining** | **Relevant working document prepared for the seventh session:**UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.7/17: Draft guidance on developing a national action plan to reduce and, where feasible, eliminate mercury use in artisanal and small-scale gold mining**Relevant working documents prepared for the sixth session:**UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.6/16: Initial proposal for guidance and assistance to countries with artisanal and small-scale gold mining in the development of national plansUNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.6/INF.3: Progress report on the UNEP Global Mercury Partnership**Relevant working document prepared for the fourth session:**UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.4/7: Compilation of reporting obligations and action plans envisaged in the draft negotiating text and survey of reporting obligations and action plans under other relevant multilateral environment agreements**Relevant working documents prepared for the second session:**UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.2/8: Inventory of projects completed or under way in each country relating to artisanal and small‑scale gold mining, including awareness-raising, technical assistance, formalization and financial assistance projects UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.2/9: Methodologies for determining mercury exposure in people involved in artisanal and small-scale gold miningUNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.2/12: Cost-benefit analysis of existing alternatives to mercury-based products, processes and technologies**Relevant working and information documents prepared for the first session:**UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.1/19: Potential costs and benefits associated with each provision listed in paragraph 27 of Governing Council decision 25/5 UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.1/INF/8: Potential costs and benefits associated with each provision listed in paragraph 27 of Governing Council decision 25/5 | C. Summary of Supply, Trade and Demand Information on Mercury, November 2006D. Mercury awareness-raising package, January 2009F. Guide for Reducing Major Uses and Releases of Mercury, June 2006 H. Report on current supply and demand for mercury, including projections considering the phase‑out of primary mercury mining, July 2008I. Report on the major mercury‑containing products and processes, their substitutes and experience in switching to mercury‑free products and processes, July 2008S. Analysis of formalization approaches in the artisanal and small-scale gold mining sector based on experiences in Ecuador, Mongolia, Peru, Tanzania and Uganda, June 2012 T. Reducing Mercury Use in Artisanal and Small-scale Gold mining: A practical guide. Introduction to the document and draft technical document, June 2012U. Environment for Development Perspectives: Mercury Use in Artisanal and Small-scale Gold Mining, August 2011Z. Global Mercury Assessment 2013: Sources, Emissions, Releases and Environmental Transport, February 2013AA. Global Chemicals Outlook: Towards Sound Management of Chemicals. Synthesis Report for Decision Makers, September 2012GG. Website on ASGM National Action Plan Guidance, UNEP Global Mercury Partnership |
| **Article 8****Emissions****Article 9****Releases** | **Relevant working documents prepared for the seventh session:**UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.7/6: Report of the group of technical experts on the development of guidance required under article 8 of the ConventionUNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.7/6/Add1: Draft guidance on best available techniques and best environmental practicesUNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.7/6/Add2: Draft guidance on support for parties in implementing the measures set out in paragraph 5 of article 8, in particular in determining goals and in setting emission limit valuesUNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.7/6/Add3: Draft guidance on criteria that parties may develop pursuant to paragraph 2 (b) of article 8UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.7/6/Add4: Draft guidance on preparing inventories of emissionsUNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.7/INF1: Comments received on Best Available Techniques and Best Environmental Practices guidance documents during the public comment periodUNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.7/INF.3: Progress report on the UNEP Global Mercury Partnership**Relevant working document prepared for the fifth session:**UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.5/4: Air emission thresholds for facilities and information on releases to land and waterUNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.5/INF/1: Air emission thresholds for facilities and information on releases to land and water**Relevant working documents prepared for the fourth session:**UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.4/5: Approach to possible elements of Articles 10 and 11 prepared by the co-chairs of the contact group on emissions and releases UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.4/7: Compilation of reporting obligations and action plans envisaged in the draft negotiating text and survey of reporting obligations and action plans under other relevant multilateral environment agreements**Relevant working document prepared for the third session:**UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.3/5: Releases of mercury from the oil and gas industry**Relevant working and information documents prepared for the second session:**UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.2/4: Study on mercury sources and emissions and analysis of the cost and effectiveness of control measuresUNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.2/16: Relationship between the future mercury instrument and the Basel Convention on the Control of Transboundary Movements of Hazardous Wastes and Their Disposal UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.2/18: Process optimization guidance for reducing mercury emissions from coal combustion in power plants *(executive summary)*UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.2/INF/2: Guidelines on the environmentally sound management of elemental mercury and waste containing or contaminated with mercuryUNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.2/INF/5: Process optimization guidance for reducing mercury emissions from coal combustion in power plants *(full report)***Relevant working and information documents prepared for the first session:**UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.1/15: Progress in the preparation of the study called for in paragraph 29 of Governing Council decision 25/5 | B1. Global Mercury Assessment 2013: Sources, Emissions, Releases and Environmental Transport, January 2013 (summary report for policy makers and technical background report. The Technical Background Report includes calculated emission inventories for all countries), B2. Global Mercury Modelling: Update of Modelling Results in the Global Mercury Assessment 2013 (June 2015)D. Mercury awareness-raising package, January 2009E1. Toolkit for Identification and Quantification of Mercury Releases, Guidelines for inventory level 1 and level 2, 2015E2: MercuryLearn Platform, an online training platform to enhance the usability of the UNEP Toolkit as a standard methodology to prepare national mercury emissions and releases inventories.F. Guide for Reducing Major Uses and Releases of Mercury, June 2006Y. Process Optimization Guidance (POG) for Reducing Mercury Emissions from Coal Combustion in Power Plants (report) and software (iPOG).AA. Global Chemicals Outlook: Towards Sound Management of Chemicals. Synthesis Report for Decision Makers, September 2012 |
| **Article 10****Environmentally sound interim storage of mercury, other than waste mercury** | **Relevant working document prepared for the seventh session:**UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.7/18: Environmentally sound interim storage: compilation and summary of submissions; identification of relevant sections of the Basel guidance; and a roadmap for work on interim guidance.**Relevant working documents prepared for the sixth session:**UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.6/17: Development of guidance on the environmentally sound interim storage of mercuryUNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.6/INF.3: Progress report on the UNEP Global Mercury Partnership**Relevant working document prepared for the fifth session:**UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.5/4: Air emission thresholds for facilities and information on releases to land and waterUNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.5/ INF/1: Air emission thresholds for facilities and information on releases to land and water**Relevant working documents prepared for the third session:**UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.3/7: Relationship between the future mercury instrument and the Basel Convention on the Control of Transboundary Movements of Hazardous Wastes and Their Disposal**Relevant working and information documents prepared for the second session:**UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.2/4: Study on mercury sources and emissions and analysis of the costs and effectiveness of control measuresUNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.2/16: Relationship between the future mercury instrument and the Basel Convention on the Control of Transboundary Movements of Hazardous Wastes and Their DisposalUNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.2/INF/2: Guidelines on the environmentally sound management of elemental mercury and waste containing or contaminated with mercury**Relevant working and information documents prepared for the first session:**UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.1/INF/3: Information supplied by the secretariat of the Basel Convention on the Control of Transboundary Movements of Hazardous Wastes and Their Disposal | B1. Global Mercury Assessment 2013: Sources, Emissions, Releases and Environmental Transport, January 2013 (Summary report for policy makers and fully referenced and detailed Technical Background Report).D. Mercury awareness-raising package, January 2009E1. Toolkit for Identification and Quantification of Mercury Releases, Guidelines for inventory level 1 and level 2, 2015F. Guide for Reducing Major Uses and Releases of Mercury, June 2006M. Options Analysis and Feasibility Study for the Long-Term Storage of Mercury in Latin America and the Caribbean, October 2010N. Analysis of options for the environmentally sound management of surplus mercury in Asia and the Pacific, September 2011P. Management of Mercury and Mercury-Containing Waste: Final Project Report, June 2010 W. A guide for managing mercury waste at household and community level, August 2011 Several reports produced in the context of the mercury waste partnership area are relevant to the mercury waste issue and are available at: <http://www.unep.org/chemicalsandwaste/Mercury/InterimActivities/Partnerships/WasteManagement/tabid/3535/language/en-US/Default.aspx>FF. Practical Sourcebook on Mercury Waste Storage and Disposal, UNEP, September 2015  |
| **Article 11****Mercury wastes** | **Relevant working document prepared for the seventh session:**UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.7/19: Compilation of information on the use of mercury waste thresholds**Relevant working documents prepared for the sixth session:**UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.6/18: Consideration of the thresholds for identification of mercury wasteUNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.6/INF.3: Progress report on the UNEP Global Mercury PartnershipUNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.6/INF.10: Status of work on the updating of the technical guidelines for the environmentally sound management of wastes consisting of elemental mercury and wastes containing or contaminated with mercury under the Basel Convention**Relevant working document prepared for the fifth session:**UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.5/4: Air emission thresholds for facilities and information on releases to land and waterUNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.5/ INF/1: Air emission thresholds for facilities and information on releases to land and water**Relevant working documents prepared for the third session:**UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.3/5: Releases of mercury from the oil and gas industryUNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.3/7: Relationship between the future mercury instrument and the Basel Convention on the Control of Transboundary Movements of Hazardous Wastes and Their Disposal**Relevant working and information documents prepared for the second session:**UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.2/4: Study on mercury sources and emissions and analysis of the costs and effectiveness of control measuresUNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.2/16: Relationship between the future mercury instrument and the Basel Convention on the Control of Transboundary Movements of Hazardous Wastes and Their DisposalUNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.2/INF/2: Guidelines on the environmentally sound management of elemental mercury and waste containing or contaminated with mercury**Relevant working and information documents prepared for the first session:**UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.1/INF/3: Information supplied by the secretariat of the Basel Convention on the Control of Transboundary Movements of Hazardous Wastes and Their Disposal | B1. Global Mercury Assessment 2013: Sources, Emissions, Releases and Environmental Transport, January 2013 (Summary report for policy makers and fully referenced and detailed Technical Background Report).D. Mercury awareness-raising package, January 2009E1. Toolkit for Identification and Quantification of Mercury Releases, Guidelines for inventory level 1 and level 2, 2015 and the MercuryLearn Platform (see under article 8)F. Guide for Reducing Major Uses and Releases of Mercury, June 2006M. Options Analysis and Feasibility Study for the Long-Term Storage of Mercury in Latin America and the Caribbean, October 2010N. Analysis of options for the environmentally sound management of surplus mercury in Asia and the Pacific, September 2011P. Management of Mercury and Mercury-Containing Waste: Final Project Report, June 2010 W. A guide for managing mercury waste at household and community level, August 2011 Several reports produced in the context of the mercury waste partnership area are relevant to the mercury waste issue and are available at: www.unep.org/chemicalsandwaste/Mercury/InterimActivities/Partnerships/WasteManagement/tabid/3535/language/en-US/Default.aspxBB. Promoting the ‘phase down’ Approach of Dental Amalgam in Developing countries – Tanzania, October 2014.FF. Practical Sourcebook on Mercury Waste Storage and Disposal, UNEP, September 2015 |
| **Article 12****Contaminated sites** | **Relevant working documents prepared for the seventh session:**UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.7/20: Guidance on managing contaminated sites and the proposed way forward for developing guidance**Relevant working documents prepared for the sixth session:**UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.6/19: Guidance on managing contaminated sites and the proposed way forward for developing guidanceUNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.6/INF.3: Progress report on the UNEP Global Mercury Partnership**Relevant working document prepared for the fifth session:**UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.5/4: Air emission thresholds for facilities and information on releases to land and waterUNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.5/INF/1: Air emission thresholds for facilities and information on releases to land and water**Relevant working documents prepared for the third session:**UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.3/5: Releases of mercury from the oil and gas industryUNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.3/7: Relationship between the future mercury instrument and the Basel Convention on the Control of Transboundary Movements of Hazardous Wastes and Their Disposal**Relevant working and information documents prepared for the second session:**UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.2/4: Study on mercury sources and emissions and analysis of the costs and effectiveness of control measuresUNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.2/16: Relationship between the future mercury instrument and the Basel Convention on the Control of Transboundary Movements of Hazardous Wastes and Their DisposalUNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.2/INF/2: Guidelines on the environmentally sound management of elemental mercury and waste containing or contaminated with mercury**Relevant working and information documents prepared for the first session:**UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.1/INF/3: Information supplied by the secretariat of the Basel Convention on the Control of Transboundary Movements of Hazardous Wastes and Their Disposal | B1. Global Mercury Assessment 2013: Sources, Emissions, Releases and Environmental Transport, January 2013 (Summary report for policy makers and fully referenced and detailed Technical Background Report).D. Mercury awareness-raising package, January 2009E1. Toolkit for Identification and Quantification of Mercury Releases, Guidelines for inventory level 1 and level 2, 2015 and the MercuryLearn Platform (see under article 8)F. Guide for Reducing Major Uses and Releases of Mercury, June 2006M. Options Analysis and Feasibility Study for the Long-Term Storage of Mercury in Latin America and the Caribbean, October 2010N. Analysis of options for the environmentally sound management of surplus mercury in Asia and the Pacific, September 2011P. Management of Mercury and Mercury-Containing Waste: Final Project Report, June 2010 W. A guide for managing mercury waste at household and community level, August 2011 Several reports produced in the context of the mercury waste partnership area are relevant to the mercury waste issue and are available at: www.unep.org/chemicalsandwaste/Mercury/InterimActivities/Partnerships/WasteManagement/tabid/3535/language/en-US/Default.aspx |
| **Article 13****Financial resources and mechanism****Article 14****Capacity-building, technical assistance and technology transfer** | **Relevant working documents prepared for the seventh session:**UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.7/7: Draft memorandum of understanding between the Conference of the Parties of the Minamata Convention on Mercury and the Council of the Global Environment FacilityUNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.7/8: Draft guidance to the Global Environment Facility on overall strategies, policies, programme priorities, eligibility for access to and utilization of financial resources and on an indicative list of categories of activities that could receive support from the Global Environment Facility Trust FundUNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.7/9: Report by the co-chairs of the ad hoc working group of experts on financing established at the sixth session of the intergovernmental negotiating committeeUNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.INF/6: Options and related governance arrangements under UNEP as the host institution that could best serve the Specific International Programme**Relevant working documents prepared for the sixth session:**UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.6/20: Initial consideration of the operation of the financial mechanism, in particular relating to the specific international programme to support capacity-building and technical assistanceUNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.6/21: Input to preliminary draft guidance on overall strategies, policies, programme priorities and eligibility for access to and utilization of financial resources, and of an indicative list of categories of activities to be funded by the Global Environment Facility trust fundUNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.6/23: Progress towards development of a memorandum of understanding between the Conference of the Parties and the Council of the Global Environment FacilityUNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.6/INF/2: Terms of reference for the Special Programme to support institutional strengthening at the national level for implementation of the Basel, Rotterdam and Stockholm Conventions, the Minamata Convention and the Strategic Approach to International Chemicals ManagementUNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.6/INF/6: Report on activities of the Global Environment Facility in relation to the Minamata Convention during the interim periodUNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.6/INF/7: Progress report on the work of the interim secretariatUNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.6/INF/8: Examples of memorandums of understanding between the Council of the Global Environment Facility and the governing bodies of multilateral environmental agreementsUNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.6/INF/9: Strengthening the sound management of chemicals and wastes in the long term**Relevant working document prepared for the fifth session:**UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.5/6: Draft elements of the final act to be adopted at the anticipated diplomatic conference**Relevant working and information documents prepared for the fourth session:**UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.4/4: Proposal for a conceptual approach and possible text on financial resources and technical assistance UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.4/INF/1: Information submitted by parties on financial resources and technical and implementation assistance **Relevant working and information documents prepared for the third session:**UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.3/4: Further comparative analysis of options for financial mechanisms to support the global legally binding instrument on mercuryUNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC3/INF/3:Progress of the consultative process on financing options for chemicals and wastes led by the United Nations Environment Programme**Relevant working documents prepared for the second session:**UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.2/14: Analysis of possible funding sources and what they might cover, including an analysis of the role of the private sectorUNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.2/15: Analysis of possible options for using partnerships to help achieve the goals of the future instrument on mercury**Relevant working and information documents prepared for the first session:**UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.1/8: Options for predictable and efficient financial assistance arrangementsUNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.1/9: Options for delivery of technical assistance and capacity‑building: examples from multilateral environmental agreements and other organizations UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.1/10: Facilitating sustainable technology transfer and support for global mercury control actions: experience within existing legally binding and voluntary arrangementsUNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.1/19: Potential costs and benefits associated with each provision listed in paragraph 27 of Governing Council decision 25/5 UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.1/INF/5: Progress of the consultative process on financing options for chemicals and wastes led by the United Nations Environment Programme UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.1/INF/8: Potential costs and benefits associated with each provision listed in paragraph 27 of Governing Council decision 25/5 | G. Report presenting the costs and benefits for each of the strategic objectives set out in annex I to the report of the first meeting of the Open-ended Working Group on Mercury, July 2008R. Overarching Framework: UNEP Global Mercury Partnership, June 2009 Z. Report on Overall Progress of the United Nations Environment Programme Global Mercury Partnership, July 2010 – June 2012Y. Process Optimization Guidance (POG) for Reducing Mercury Emissions from Coal Combustion in Power Plants (report) and software (iPOG). |
| **Article 15****Implementation and Compliance Committee** | **Relevant working and information documents prepared for the first session:**UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.1/11: Key concepts, procedures and mechanisms of legally binding multilateral agreements that may be relevant to furthering compliance under the future mercury instrument |  |
| **Article 16****Health aspects** | **Relevant working and information documents prepared for the sixth session:**UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.6/INF/4: Resolution on mercury adopted by the World Health Assembly at its sixty-seventh sessionUNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.6/INF/5: Progress report on cooperation and coordination with other actors**Relevant working document prepared for the fifth session:**UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.5/5: Analysis of the extent to which the provisions of the draft mercury instrument reflect the content of article 20 bis on health aspects**Relevant working document prepared for the third session:**UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.3/6: Addressing health in the mercury instrument**Relevant working and information documents prepared for the second session:**UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.2/5: Report on indicators to evaluate and track the health impacts of mercury and identify vulnerable populationsUNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.2/6: Report on information on harmonized systems for measuring mercury body burdenUNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.2/7: Existing country-specific or regional monitoring efforts relating to fish and marine mammals in the food supplyUNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.2/9: Methodologies for determining mercury exposure in people involved in artisanal and small-scale gold miningUNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.2/19: Executive summary of the document on guidance for identifying populations at risk from mercury exposureUNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.2/INF/3: Guidance for identifying populations at risk from mercury exposure |  |
| **Article 17****Information exchange****Article 18****Public information, awareness and education****Article 19****Research, development and monitoring** | **Relevant working and information documents prepared for the sixth session:**UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.6/INF/4: Resolution on mercury adopted by the World Health Assembly at its sixty-seventh session**Relevant working and information documents prepared for the second session:**UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.2/5: Report on indicators to evaluate and track the health impacts of mercury and identify vulnerable populationsUNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.2/6: Report on information on harmonized systems for measuring mercury body burdenUNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.2/7: Existing country-specific or regional monitoring efforts relating to fish and marine mammals in the food supplyUNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.2/8: Inventory of projects completed or under way in each country relating to artisanal and small-scale gold mining, including awareness-raising, technical assistance, formalization and financial assistance projectsUNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.2/9: Methodologies for determining mercury exposure in people involved in artisanal and small-scale gold miningUNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.2/10/Rev.1: Collation and analysis of available data on mercury releases in relevant sectors at the national level UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.2/19: Executive summary of the document on guidance for identifying populations at risk from mercury exposureUNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.2/INF/3: Guidance for identifying populations at risk from mercury exposure**Relevant working and information documents prepared for the first session:**UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.1/12: Effectiveness evaluation in other conventions and possible approaches to establishing baselines | A. Global Mercury Assessment, December 2002B1. Global Mercury Assessment 2013: Sources, Emissions, Releases and Environmental Transport, January 2013 (Summary report for policy makers and fully referenced and detailed Technical Background Report).D. Mercury awareness-raising package, January 2009E1. Toolkit for Identification and Quantification of Mercury Releases, Guidelines for inventory level 1 and level 2, April 2015F. Guide for Reducing Major Uses and Releases of Mercury, June 2006 |
| **Article 20****Implementation plans** | **Relevant working document prepared for the fourth session:**UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.4/7: Compilation of reporting obligations and action plans envisaged in the draft negotiating text and survey of reporting obligations and action plans under other relevant multilateral environment agreements |  |
| **Article 21****Reporting** | **Relevant working documents prepared for the seventh session:**UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.7/10: Draft reporting format as amended by the intergovernmental negotiating committee on mercury at its sixth sessionUNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.7/11: Compilation of information on the frequency of reporting under other multilateral environmental agreements, including the Basel, Rotterdam and Stockholm conventions, along with available data on the submission of reporting rates under other agreements**Relevant working document prepared for the fourth session:**UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.4/7: Compilation of reporting obligations and action plans envisaged in the draft negotiating text and survey of reporting obligations and action plans under other relevant multilateral environment agreements**Relevant working and information documents prepared for the first session:**UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.1/11: Key concepts, procedures and mechanisms of legally binding multilateral agreements that may be relevant to furthering compliance under the future mercury instrument |  |
| **Article 22****Effectiveness evaluation** | **Relevant working and information document prepared for the seventh session:**UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.7/12: Compilation and analysis of the means of obtaining monitoring data in relation to effectiveness evaluation**Relevant working and information document prepared for the first session:**UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.1/12: Effectiveness evaluation in other conventions and possible approaches to establishing baselines  |  |
| **Article 23****Conference of the Parties** | **Relevant working and information documents prepared for the seventh session:**UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.7/13: Draft rules of procedure for the Conference of the Parties to the Minamata Convention on MercuryUNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.7/14: Draft financial rules for the Conference of the Parties to the Minamata Convention on Mercury |  |
| **Article 24****Secretariat** | **Relevant working and information documents prepared for the seventh session:**UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.7/15: Report on proposals on how the Executive Director of the United Nations Environment Programme will perform the functions of the permanent secretariat for the Minamata Convention on MercuryUNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.7/16: Analysis of offers to host the permanent secretariat of the Minamata Convention on MercuryUNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.INF/5: Offer to host the permanent secretariat from the Government of Switzerland |  |

 Appendix

 Background documents for the consideration of the intergovernmental negotiating committee to prepare a global legally binding instrument on mercury

 A. Global Mercury Assessment, December 2002 (available in English, French and Spanish at [www.unep.org/chemicalsandwaste/Metals/Mercury/](http://www.unep.org/chemicalsandwaste/Metals/Mercury/) Informationmaterials/ReportsandPublications/tabid/3593/Default.aspx)

1. The Global Mercury Assessment was submitted to the Governing Council at its twenty-second session. It provides information on many aspects of mercury, including chemistry, toxicology, impacts on human health and the environment and global cycling of mercury. It also provides information on the uses of mercury, prevention and control technologies available at the time and initiatives for controlling releases and limiting use and exposure. It formed the basis for Governing Council decision 22/4 of 7 February 2003, in which the Governing Council concluded that mercury posed global problems and required increased action.

 B1. Global Mercury Assessment 2013: Sources, Emissions, Releases and Environmental Transport, January 2013 (summary report and technical background report available in English at
http://www.unep.org/chemicalsandwaste/Mercury/Informationmaterials/
ReportsandPublications/tabid/3593/Default.aspx)

1. The 2013 update of the 2008 report on the global atmospheric mercury assessment was requested by the Governing Council of UNEP at its twenty-fifth session. The document was officially issued during the fifth session of the intergovernmental negotiating committee and information was made available to participants.
2. This report presents sources of mercury emissions to air and water. It presents estimates of anthropogenic emissions to air from various sources based on data from 2010 and estimates for releases to aquatic environment. The report also presents the latest information on atmospheric and aquatic chemistry, fate and transport. It is an overall summary report for policy makers based on a technical background report.
3. The Technical Background Report for the Global Mercury Assessment 2013 is a joint report of UNEP and the Arctic Monitoring and Assessment Programme (a working group under the Arctic Council) which presents the latest comprehensive information on global mercury emissions and releases to the environment, information on atmospheric and aquatic chemistry and fate and transport of mercury. The report is a fully referenced scientific background report for *Global Mercury Assessment 2013: Sources, Emissions, Releases and Environmental Transport*. It contains calculated emissions inventories for all countries.

**B2.** [**Global Mercury Modelling: Update of Modelling Results in the Global Mercury Assessment 2013**](http://unep.org/chemicalsandwaste/Portals/9/Mercury/GMA%20Report/Report%20-%20Modelling%20update%20of%20the%20GMA2013.pdf.pdf) **(available in English at:**[**http://unep.org/chemicalsandwaste/Mercury/ReportsandPublications/
tabid/3593/Default.aspx**](http://unep.org/chemicalsandwaste/Mercury/ReportsandPublications/tabid/3593/Default.aspx) **)**

1. The report aims to update the information presented in the Technical Background Report for the Global Mercury Assessment 2013 (AMAP/UNEP, 2013) with new model simulation results and focusing on an evaluation of mercury intercontinental transport (quantifying global patterns of mercury air concentration and deposition) and source attribution of mercury deposition to major geographical regions and aquatic areas of the global ocean.

 C. Summary of Supply, Trade and Demand Information on Mercury, November 2006 (available in English at [www.unep.org/chemicalsandwaste/Metals/](http://www.unep.org/chemicalsandwaste/Metals/) Mercury/Informationmaterials/ReportsandPublications/tabid/
3593/Default.aspx)

1. The report on supply, trade and demand information on mercury was prepared to inform discussions by the Governing Council at its twenty-fourth session. The report draws upon information submitted by Governments and publicly available databases. It also specifically considers trade in mercury used in artisanal and small-scale mining. It sets out the most common sources of mercury for the global supply, the overall extent of and changes in the global supply, the range of uses of mercury and the demand for mercury in the global supply. It outlines potential scenarios for mercury demand, based both on the status quo at the time the report was prepared and on a focused mercury reduction programme. Information is provided on trends in the price of mercury and the global trade in mercury, subject to the caveat that the clandestine nature of some illegal activities makes it difficult to accurately determine the extent of all mercury trade.

 D. Mercury awareness-raising package, January 2009 (available in English, French and Spanish at [www.unep.org/chemicalsandwaste/Mercury/](http://www.unep.org/chemicalsandwaste/Mercury/) ReportsandPublications/AwarenessRaisingPackage/tabid/4022/Default.aspx)

1. The publication is intended to raise stakeholder awareness of the effects of mercury on human health, wildlife and the environment and of strategies to manage and control mercury. It is designed for use by government officials, community leaders and workers. It is intended to contribute to building public support and capacity to take preventive action. It includes a user’s guide, an overview and five thematic modules on mercury in products and wastes, mercury and industry, mercury use in artisanal and small-scale gold mining, mercury use in health-care settings and dentistry, and cultural uses of mercury.

 E1. Toolkit for Identification and Quantification of Mercury Releases, Guidelines for inventory level 1 and level 2, April 2015 (available in English at [www.unep.org/chemicalsandwaste/Mercury/MercuryPublications/GuidanceTrainingMaterialToolkits/MercuryToolkit/tabid/4566/language/en-US/Default.aspx](http://www.unep.org/chemicalsandwaste/Mercury/MercuryPublications/GuidanceTrainingMaterialToolkits/MercuryToolkit/tabid/4566/language/en-US/Default.aspx))

1. The Toolkit is intended to assist countries in developing a national mercury releases inventory. It provides a standardized methodology and accompanying database enabling the development of consistent national and regional mercury inventories. National inventories will assist countries in identifying and addressing mercury releases and thus assist them in evaluating the risks from various sources. Comparable sets of mercury source release data will enhance international cooperation, discussion, goal-definition and assistance.
2. It comprises a procedure recommended by the United Nations Environment Programme for the effective compilation of mercury source and release inventories, given that comparable sets of mercury source release data can enhance international cooperation, discussion, goal-definition and assistance. Comparable data sets also help to establish a global picture of the scale of releases as a step in prioritizing actions to control or reduce releases and enlarging the international knowledge base on mercury uses and releases.
3. The Toolkit guides the inventory developer through the different stages of identifying sources and quantifying the consumption and releases of mercury from these sources. It provides a methodology, examples and extensive information on mercury release sources. The Toolkit has been revised in 2013 based on experiences in using it and new data and exists in two versions:
4. “Inventory Level 1” provides a simplified version of the Toolkit, as well as calculation spreadsheets and a reporting template, to make the development of an overview mercury inventory considerably easier.
5. “Inventory Level 2” is the comprehensive version that includes a detailed description of all mercury sources and is useful for anyone wishing to learn more about a specific mercury release source, including environmental authorities and researchers.

**E2. MercuryLearn Platform (available in English at: http://mercurylearn.unitar.org/)**

1. Based on the Toolkit, the e-learning platform ([MercuryLearn](http://mercurylearn.unitar.org/)) has been developed in cooperation with UNITAR (available in English)
2. This tool is developed to introduce the Toolkit to first-time users, serve as a reference tool for trained users, and enhance the usability of the UNEP Toolkit as a standard methodology. The approach of the training platform is to provide the technical information in the Toolkit in a visual manner, with interactive activities, maps, videos and exercises. It also provides a platform for experts to exchange information and experiences in preparing inventories.

 F. Guide for Reducing Major Uses and Releases of Mercury, June 2006
(available in English at [www.unep.org/chemicalsandwaste/Metals/](http://www.unep.org/chemicalsandwaste/Metals/)Mercury/Informationmaterials/ReportsandPublications/tabid/3593/Default.aspx)

1. The guide is intended to assist countries in strengthening their knowledge base, identifying sources of possible mercury exposure and readily assessing the viability of the main methods of reducing mercury exposures and risks to populations. The information provided reflects approaches considered or implemented in some countries, industries or products to reduce or eliminate mercury releases, which may not apply to all situations. Whether approaches are applied in a particular country depends upon government and local priorities, information and education about possible risks, the legal framework, enforcement, implementation costs, perceived benefits and other factors.

**G. Report presenting the costs and benefits for each of the strategic objectives set out in annex I to the report of the first meeting of the Open-ended Working Group on Mercury, July 2008 (UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/OEWG.2/5/Add.1, available in all six official United Nations languages at mercuryconvention.org/Negotiations/OEWG2/tabid/3432/Default.aspx)[[3]](#footnote-3)**

1. The report provides a general qualitative assessment of potential costs and benefits for each of the priority areas for mercury, classifying such costs and benefits as small, medium, large or not applicable. For purposes of the assessment, the cost of each strategic objective is the overall cost associated with implementing it, while the benefit is considered to be the extent to which achievement of the objective would reduce mercury-related risks on a global basis, distinguishing between local and global risk-reduction benefits. The final conclusion of the report is that investing in the reduction of mercury emissions and exposure will produce health and environmental benefits. It finds that technological measures, such as the installation of equipment to remove mercury from flue gases in electric power plants, waste incinerators and smelters, are relatively expensive (medium to high costs) compared to non-technological measures such as prevention, capacity-building and the promotion of mercury-containing waste separation (low to medium costs). Both groups of measures, however, would result in large benefits and their parallel application, resources permitting, would be appropriate.

 H. Report on current supply and demand for mercury, including projections considering the phase-out of primary mercury mining, July 2008 (UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/OEWG.2/6/Add.1, available in all six official United Nations languages at [mercuryconvention.org/Negotiations/](http://mercuryconvention.org/Negotiations/)OEWG2/
tabid/3432/Default.aspx)

1. The report provides an assessment of whether projected demand for mercury could be met if primary mining were phased out. It also provides, based on available information, a brief summary of major sources of mercury releases by country or, if available country-level data is insufficient, by region. The report draws on, among other sources, the atmospheric emissions study prepared for the Governing Council. It covers emissions from coal-fired power plants; industrial emissions (e.g., waste combustion, non-ferrous metals and cement production); artisanal gold-mining use and emissions; and use of mercury in products and processes. Its conclusions are that, excepting the current situation in China, mercury mining is not essential. It also demonstrates that the mercury market reaches an equilibrium of supply and demand following major changes such as the closure of mercury mines in 2003 and 2004.

 I. Report on the major mercury-containing products and processes, their substitutes and experience in switching to mercury-free products and processes, July 2008 (UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/OEWG.2/7/Add.1, available in all six official United Nations languages at [mercuryconvention.org/](http://mercuryconvention.org/)Negotiations/
OEWG2/tabid/3432/Default.aspx)

1. The report provides information on mercury-containing products and processes that have effective substitutes, including information on the relative quantities of mercury used and on experience in switching to non-mercury processes or products. The report discusses three categories of products: those for which alternatives are successfully used; those for which alternatives are available but face challenges to their use; and those for which the feasibility of alternatives varies significantly as the result of a number of economic, technical, social and institutional factors.

 J. Assessment of Excess Mercury in Asia, 2010–2050, May 2009 (available in English at [www.unep.org/chemicalsandwaste/Portals/9/Mercury/](http://www.unep.org/chemicalsandwaste/Portals/9/Mercury/) Documents/supplystorage/Assessment%20of%20Excess%20Mercury%20in%20Asia%202010-2015\_Final%20Draft\_May%202009.pdf)

1. In accordance with the scenarios assessed in the report, mercury supply and demand in Asia are projected to reach a rough equilibrium beginning during the period 2014–2015. After 2017, the urgency of an Asian mercury storage capability is likely to depend on the rate of demand reduction. Substantial excess mercury can be expected in Asia after 2030. The quantity of excess mercury, mostly accumulated between 2030 and 2050, would likely amount to just over 5,500 tons. In accordance with an alternative policy scenario, in which regional authorities may decide to move forward the storage of excess mercury, the quantity of mercury accumulated may be as high as 7,500 tons.

 K. Assessment report: Excess mercury supply in Latin America and the Caribbean, 2010–2050, July 2009 (available in English at www.unep.org/chemicalsandwaste/Portals/9/Mercury/Supply%20and%20Storage/LAC%20Mercury%20Storage%20Assessment\_Final\_1July09.pdf)

1. The future principal sources of mercury in the Latin American and Caribbean region were identified as that recovered as a by-product of mining operations and that recovered from the closure or conversion of mercury cell chlor-alkali plants. A base case scenario suggests that mercury supply may exceed demand as early as 2015, with the total excess arising between 2015 and 2050 possibly amounting to over 8,000 tons. According to an alternative minimum storage scenario, in which it is assumed that some by-product mercury continues to be exported and that there is a generally slower increase in the generation of by-product mercury, the quantity of mercury accumulated may be closer to 2,000–3,000 tons.

 L. Assessment report: Excess mercury supply in Eastern Europe and Central Asia, 2010–2050, April 2010 (available in English at www.unep.org/chemicalsandwaste/Portals/9/Mercury/Documents/supplystorage/EECA%20Excess%20Mercury\_Final%20Draft\_Apr2010.pdf)

1. The study attempts to understand the dynamic flux between mercury supply and demand in the Eastern Europe and Central Asia region. Current and future regional supply of mercury includes the continued mining of mercury, the occasional decommissioning of chlor-alkali facilities, the recovery of mercury from used products and wastes, mercury by-product from other mining operations and natural gas production, and the increasing use of mercury-free alternatives. This is compared with the regional demand in order to estimate the quantity of excess mercury which may need to be stored in the region.

 M. Options Analysis and Feasibility Study for the Long-Term Storage of Mercury in Latin America and the Caribbean, October 2010 (available in English at www.unep.org/chemicalsandwaste/Portals/9/Mercury/
Documents/supplystorage/Final\_Draft\_LAC%20Hg%20Options\_Chile.pdf)

1. The report analyses the options for storing surplus mercury in Latin America and the Caribbean using a multi-criteria approach. Three options were considered: above-ground warehousing; below‑ground storage in geological formations; and export. While permanent options are being investigated, interim measures including temporary storage, such as in hazardous waste facilities, are necessary for the environmentally sound storage of mercury.

 N. Analysis of options for the environmentally sound management of surplus mercury in Asia and the Pacific, September 2011 (available in English at www.unep.org/chemicalsandwaste/Portals/9/Mercury/Documents/supplystorage/Analysis%20of%20options%20for%20the%20environmentally%20sound%20management%20of%20surplus%20Hg%20in%20AP%20R2.pdf)

1. The report analyses the options to remove surplus mercury from the market. The United States of America concept of storing elemental mercury above ground and the European Union approach of underground disposal of hazardous wastes are both technically promising, but their feasibility needs to be assessed on a site-specific basis. While permanent options are being investigated, interim measures including temporary storage, such as in hazardous waste facilities, are necessary for the environmentally sound storage of mercury.

 O. Technical and Economic Criteria for Processing Mercury-Containing Tailings, April 2010 (available in English at www.unep.org/chemicalsandwaste/Portals/9/Mercury/Documents/
PartneshipsAreas/Technical%20and%20economic%20criteria-2010.pdf)

1. The report includes a technical report identifying key parameters for the assessment of technical and economic opportunities for the processing of mine tailings with high levels of mercury; a description of sampling and analytical approaches for metal analysis; discussion of technical and economic aspects to be considered, taking into account the need to minimize the release of mercury into the environment; and a description of the situation and outline of possible options for a selected site in Chile.

 P. Management of Mercury and Mercury-Containing Waste: Final Project Report, June 2010 (available in English at www.unep.org/chemicalsandwaste/Portals/9/Mercury/Documents/supplystorage/Final%20Report%20Mercury%20waste%20project\_2010.pdf)

1. The five-country project on mercury waste management was implemented from 5 November 2008 until 30 June 2010. The project included four countries – Burkina Faso, Cambodia, Pakistan and the Philippines – that were financed by the Government of Norway. The participation of Chile was made possible with funds from the Mercury Trust Fund. This project deals with the management of mercury and mercury-containing waste and will contribute to the United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP) priority area on harmful substances and hazardous wastes under its medium-term strategy with the ultimate goal of minimizing the impact of harmful substances and hazardous wastes to the environment and human beings.

 Q. Study on the possible effects on human health and the environment of the trade of products containing lead, cadmium and mercury in Latin America and the Caribbean, Asia and the Pacific and Africa (available at www.unep.org/chemicalsandwaste/Metals/LeadandCadmium/Publications/
Tradestudies/tabid/6172/Default.aspx)

1. UNEP has prepared, with financial support from the Nordic Council of Ministers and the assistance of Grupo GEA (Peru) and the International POPs Elimination Network, studies on the analysis of the trade flows and review of environmentally sound management practices related to products containing lead, cadmium and mercury in Latin America and the Caribbean and in Asia and the Pacific. These studies were presented to the UNEP Governing Council/Global Ministerial Environment Forum at its twenty-sixth session, held in Nairobi in February 2011, as documents UNEP/GC.26/INF/11/Add.3 and UNEP/GC.26/INF/11/Add.4, respectively. In 2008, with the financial support of the Government of Sweden, UNEP had conducted a study on the possible effects on human health and the environment in Africa of the trade of products containing lead, cadmium and mercury, which was noted by the UNEP Governing Council/Global Ministerial Environment Forum at its twenty-fifth session in February 2009.

 R. Overarching Framework: UNEP Global Mercury Partnership, June 2009 (available in all six official United Nations languages at www.unep.org/chemicalsandwaste/Mercury/GlobalMercuryPartnership/
tabid/1253/Default.aspx)

1. The Overarching Framework guides the work of the UNEP Global Mercury Partnership. It has been developed under the auspices of the Executive Director in consultation with Governments and other stakeholders. The document was forwarded to the Governing Council at its twenty-fifth session where progress made by the Partnership was welcomed and the continued involvement of UNEP in the Partnership was endorsed.

 S. Analysis of formalization approaches in the artisanal and small-scale gold mining sector based on experiences in Ecuador, Mongolia, Peru, Tanzania and Uganda, June 2012 (available in English at www.unep.org/
chemicalsandwaste/Portals/9/Mercury/Documents/ASGM/Formalization\_ARM/Formalization%20Document%20Final%20June%202012.pdf)

1. The document is a synthesis for policymakers and other interested stakeholders on the formalization of the artisanal and small-scale gold mining sector, based on the analysis of the case studies developed by international experts for Ecuador, Mongolia, Peru, Tanzania and Uganda and drawing upon examples from other countries. The goal of the document is to highlight critical elements of formalization processes, including institutional considerations, legislation development or reform, and financing. The analysis identifies key strategic lessons and recommendations, which inform the debate, and lessons learned that may be applicable in other countries.

 T. Reducing Mercury Use in Artisanal and Small-scale Gold Mining: A practical guide, June 2012 (available in English, French and Spanish at www.unep.org/chemicalsandwaste/Mercury/GlobalMercuryPartnership/
ArtisanalandSmallScaleGoldMining/Reports/tabid/4489/language/en-US/Default.aspx)

1. The document has been produced with a view to sharing information with policymakers, miners and civil society about available technologies and approaches for reducing, and ultimately eliminating, mercury use in artisanal and small-scale gold mining (ASGM). It is rich in graphics with the aim of being a simple educational and planning tool for improving practices in ASGM. It is also hoped that the public will find parts of this booklet an accessible and informative resource with which to learn more about the often misunderstood ASGM sector.

 U. Environment for Development Perspectives: Mercury Use in Artisanal and Small-scale Gold Mining, August 2011 (available in English at [www.unep.org/chemicalsandwaste/Portals/9/Mercury/Partners/Environment%20for%20Development%20Perspectives%20Mercury%20Use%20in%20ASGM%20FINAL.doc](http://www.unep.org/chemicalsandwaste/Portals/9/Mercury/Partners/Environment%20for%20Development%20Perspectives%20Mercury%20Use%20in%20ASGM%20FINAL.doc))

1. The paper provides a synthesis of existing knowledge to frame the economic argument for investing in mercury reduction/elimination in artisanal and small-scale gold mining as part of development strategies for this sector. The objective is to provide an analytical foundation including often omitted negative external consequences for concurrent and future studies conducted under the Global Mercury Partnership. The aim is to identify the economic challenges and opportunities for reducing mercury in artisanal and small-scale practices, with the goal of eliminating its use wherever possible. This approach ultimately seeks to motivate greater political, private sector and civil society support for significant reduction or elimination of mercury in artisanal and small-scale gold production.

 V. Economics of Conversion to Mercury-Free Products, October 2011 (available in English at http://www.unep.org/chemicalsandwaste/Portals/9/
Mercury/UNEP%20Economics%20of%20Conversion%20to%20Mercury-free%20Report%20Final%20102611\_finaldraft\_wAPP.pdf)

1. The report takes into consideration the case studies of two firms involved in the transitioning from mercury-containing to mercury-free products in the medical technology industry. Despite the different supply chain locations of the firms, both were able to produce mercury-free products of the same quality as mercury-containing products. These products included hearing aid batteries, thermometer batteries and most sphygmomanometer applications. However, due to a lack of a legally binding agreement, the study concludes that producers willing to invest in the production of mercury-free devices are also required to continue producing mercury-containing products. This scenario decreases competition for innovation amongst mercury-free suppliers, prevents firms willing to invest in the sector from reaching economies of scale at a faster pace and ignores the fact that sufficient mercury-free capacity exists to meet consumer demand. Legislation and increased market demand would facilitate the shift to mercury-free products.

 W. A guide for managing mercury waste at household and community level, August 2011 (available in English at www.unep.org/chemicalsandwaste/
Portals/9/Mercury/2011.10.24-Brochure-English.pdf)

1. The brochure was developed by the Foreign Economic Cooperation Office of the Chinese Ministry of Environmental Protection for UNEP, supported by the Government of Norway through UNEP. As a large mercury producer and consumer, the Chinese Government has carried forth national and international efforts to reduce mercury pollution. The development of the awareness-raising toolkit was part of a project that included pilot dissemination, assessment and project summary evaluation. The project concluded that the public has very limited basic knowledge about mercury pollution and is in urgent need of large-scale, targeted and systematic publicity and education.

 X. Research and Development Progress of and Feasibility Study Report on Mercury-free Catalyst in China, December 2011 (available in English at http://www.unep.org/chemicalsandwaste/Portals/9/Mercury/VCM%20Production/Final%20technical%20report-VCM%20R&D%20project%202012-3-22.doc)

1. Vinyl chloride monomer production using the mercury catalyst process is the second-largest demand sector for mercury globally (estimated at 570–800 tons annually in 2008). The process has emerged as a cost-effective production technique for countries with a higher availability of acetylene over ethylene as raw material (namely in China and Russia). It is believed that China represents
80–90 per cent of global capacity with 89 facilities currently identified. China undertook this report with support from UNEP as a means to promote cost-effective mercury-free PVC production processes.

 Y. Process Optimization Guidance [(](http://unep.org/chemicalsandwaste/Portals/9/Mercury/Documents/coal/POG%20FINAL%202011%20edited%2007%20Jan%202011.pdf)POG[)](http://unep.org/chemicalsandwaste/Portals/9/Mercury/Documents/coal/POG%20FINAL%202011%20edited%2007%20Jan%202011.pdf) for Reducing Mercury Emissions from Coal Combustion in Power Plants (report and software, available in English, Chinese and Russian at [http://unep.org/chemicalsandwaste/
Mercury/GlobalMercuryPartnership/Coalcombustion/
ProcessOptimizationGuidanceDocument/tabid/4873/Default.aspx](http://unep.org/chemicalsandwaste/Mercury/GlobalMercuryPartnership/Coalcombustion/ProcessOptimizationGuidanceDocument/tabid/4873/Default.aspx) )

1. The POG is a tool that has been developed to help determine appropriate approaches to control mercury emissions in individual coal fired power plants. Though the POG has been developed for coal fired power plants, it is generally also applicable to large industrial coal-fired boilers. It is available in English, Chinese and Russian. The POG summarizes mercury emission reduction practices and technologies including:
* energy efficiency improvement measures
* pre-combustion control measures (e.g., coal blending, coal cleaning)
* optimizing other (single) air pollutants control technologies to maximize mercury removal
* mercury-specific control technologies
* multi-pollutant control technologies
1. The POG has been reviewed by experts in the network of the UNEP Coal Combustion Partnership area, including national experts in Russia, China and South Africa, and others through an open review process.
2. The POG has been further developed into user-friendly freeware, the Interactive Process Optimization Guidance (iPOG™), that calculates Hg emission rates from coal-fired utility plants burning any single coal or coal blend. The software requires the entry of data on coal properties, burning conditions and flue-gas cleaning configuration and conditions. With this information, the iPOG™ calculates Hg emission reductions that can be achieved with different coal pretreatments (washing and blending); with the most common pollution controls, including systems with only particle collection devices and with various combinations of pollution controls (ESP/FGD and SCR/ESP/FGD); and with Hg specific controls such as injection of conventional carbon sorbents, brominated carbon sorbents, and oxidising agents. The iPOG™ also calculates the Hg captured in the bottom and fly ashes, depending on the coal characteristics and combustion conditions (no plant emits 100% of the mercury in coal).

 Z. Report on Overall Progress of the United Nations Environment Programme Global Mercury Partnership, July 2010 – June 2012 (available in English at www.unep.org/chemicalsandwaste/Portals/9/Mercury/Meeting%20Report%20PAG%204.doc)

1. The report, on overall progress of the United Nations Environment Programme Global Mercury Partnership, was developed by the UNEP Global Mercury Partnership Advisory Group and reflects input received from within the partnership areas. It considers the future direction of the Partnership and provides an overview of the status and scope of the partnership areas.

 AA. Global Chemicals Outlook: Towards Sound Management of Chemicals. Synthesis Report for Decision Makers, September 2012 (available in the six official United Nations languages at [www.unep.org/chemicalsandwaste/UNEPsWork/Mainstreaming/GlobalChemicalsOutlook/tabid/56356/Default.aspx](http://www.unep.org/chemicalsandwaste/UNEPsWork/Mainstreaming/GlobalChemicalsOutlook/tabid/56356/Default.aspx))

1. The synthesis report for decision makers describes the main findings and conclusions of the full report “Global Chemicals Outlook: Towards Sound Management of Chemicals.” The report was developed by UNEP in collaboration with the World Health Organization. It was also developed in collaboration with the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development and other member institutions of the Inter-Organization Programme for the Sound Management of Chemicals and reflects the work of the Global Chemicals Outlook Steering Committee, which is composed of representatives of Governments, the private sector, civil society and academia.

**BB. Promoting the ‘phase down’ Approach of Dental Amalgam in Developing countries – final report, October 2015 (available as a brochure at** **http://www.unep.org/chemicalsandwaste/Portals/9/Mercury/Products/dental%20mercury%20phase%20down%20project%20brochure%20FINAL\_lr.pdf)**

1. This report presents the work conducted by UNEP and WHO in collaboration with the World Dental Federation (FDI) and the International Association of Dental Manufacturers to implement a demonstration project for phasing down the use of dental amalgam in Tanzania, which was part of the larger East African Dental Amalgam Phase-down Project (EADAP) also conducted in Kenya, Tanzania and Uganda. It included a study on trade flows of dental amalgam and its alternatives, capacitated dentists on the use of alternatives to amalgam for dental restorations and investigated dental amalgam waste practices. Best management practices for dental amalgam were demonstrated for the 3 countries, and weaknesses in the current safety and management practices were highlighted.

**CC. Replacing mercury-added products and promoting improved management of mercury-added products waste in Madagascar, March 2015 (available in English at** [**http://www.unep.org/chemicalsandwaste/Portals/9/Mercury/
Products/Madagascar-final-report.pdf**](http://www.unep.org/chemicalsandwaste/Portals/9/Mercury/Products/Madagascar-final-report.pdf)**)**

1. This report presents the efforts of the Government of Madagascar to identify mercury-containing products available in the country, investigate their trade and highlight their mercury-free alternatives. The project also focused on awareness raising and advocacy on mercury action. Moreover, the project sought to help Governments to control the imports of mercury-containing products in line with the provisions of the Minamata Convention, and to strengthen the capacity at the national level for the environmentally sound management of mercury waste.

**DD. The United Nation's Framework Convention on Climate Change and the Minamata Convention on Mercury: A comparison for the coal combustion sector, May 2015 (available in English at** [**http://www.unep.org/
chemicalsandwaste/Portals/9/Mercury/Report%20FINAL%20-%20UNFCCC-Minamata%20-%20coal%20combustion%208%20June%202015.pdf**](http://www.unep.org/chemicalsandwaste/Portals/9/Mercury/Report%20FINAL%20-%20UNFCCC-Minamata%20-%20coal%20combustion%208%20June%202015.pdf)**)**

1. This report compares how the UNFCCC and the Minamata Convention seek to control their respective pollutants and the implications of these approaches for the coal combustion sector. The aims and modalities of the two conventions are juxtaposed and mutual benefits of the actions under the two conventions are explored, as are areas of potential bridge building. The report pays special attention to the need for technological advancements to reduce greenhouse gas and mercury emissions from coal combustion sector in light of a growing global demand for coal, especially in developing economies.

**EE. Reducing demand for mercury added products in Bangladesh, December 2015 (available in English at http://www.unep.org/chemicalsandwaste/Portals/9/
Mercury/Products/Final%20Report-%20ESDO%20Bangladesh\_mercury%20substitution.pdf)**

1. This report presents an inventory of mercury emissions and releases in Bangladesh and its efforts to reduce demand of mercury added products by developing awareness materials for policy makers and the general public.

**FF. Practical Sourcebook on Mercury Waste Storage and Disposal, UNEP, September 2015 (available in English at http://www.unep.org/
chemicalsandwaste/Portals/9/Mercury/Waste%20management/Sourcebook/
Sourcebook-Mercruy-FINAL-web-.pdf)**

1. The ‘Practical Sourcebook on Mercury Waste Storage and Disposal’ is an informative tool that aims to enhance capacities of governments and other relevant stakeholders, in particular from developing countries and countries with economies in transition, to store and dispose mercury wastes in an environmentally sound manner. The Sourcebook is a practical reference document, rather than a detailed technical guidance, illustrating the various options and technologies available on mercury waste management for decision-makers. It is based on experiences and lessons learnt and contains decision trees, flow charts, photos and a number of case studies. These will help the reader to make informed decisions against the background of their particular domestic circumstances

**GG. Website on ASGM National Action Plan Guidance, UNEP Global Mercury Partnership (available in English at** [**http://www.unep.org/chemicalsandwaste/
Mercury/GlobalMercuryPartnership/ArtisanalandSmall-ScaleGoldMining/Reports/NationalActionPlan/tabid/53985/Default.aspx**](http://www.unep.org/chemicalsandwaste/Mercury/GlobalMercuryPartnership/ArtisanalandSmall-ScaleGoldMining/Reports/NationalActionPlan/tabid/53985/Default.aspx)**)**

1. This website provides up to date information and guidance from the UNEP Global Mercury Partnership on developing and implementing the ASGM National Action Plan (NAP) required of certain Parties of the Minamata Convention under Article 7. The most current version of the Partnership’s Guidance Document on developing ASGM NAPS can be found here. In addition, other resources such as the Partnership’s Quickstart Guide and NRDC’s guide to applying for GEF funding can be found on the site. As the Partnership continues to develop resources to support ASGM NAPs, more material will be uploaded onto the site.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  |  |  |

1. \* UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.7/1. [↑](#footnote-ref-1)
2. Descriptions of the background documents are provided in the appendix to the present annex. [↑](#footnote-ref-2)
3. An updated version of this report is available in document UNEP(DTIE)/Hg/INC.1/INF/8, in English only. [↑](#footnote-ref-3)